Case 1:08-cv-04662-AKH Docur	nent 1 Filed 05/16/2008 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	21 MC 100 (A VII)
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
MATTHEW QUIGLEY	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE
- against - A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	MASTER COMPLAINT PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER, Defendants.	
•	Tellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, ats for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOT	ICE OF ADOPTION
	eter Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual

the lual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an "\vec{\su}" if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, MATTHEW QUIGLEY, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

		I. <u>PARTIES</u>A. PLAINTIFF(S)		
1. and a citizen o		EW QUIGLEY (hereinaft at 14 North Vine Street, Cl (OR)), is an individua
2.		is the laim in his (her) capacity as		·
		\		
	PL	ease read this document care	fully	

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Cas	se 1:08-cv-04662-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 05/16/2008 Page 2 of 11		
	residing at	(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the		
Injured Plaint	SPOUSE at all relevant times by, and brir	nerein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the nd (his wife), Plaintiff		
	•	Other:		
4. Lease as a Lab	oorer at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Bovis Lend lling in the following dates and locations		
-	rease we as specific as possible mien ju			
The World Trade Center Site Location(s) (<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.) From on or about 9/12/2001 until 10/25/2001; Approximately 12 hours per day; for		The Barge From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		
Approximatel	y <u>44</u> days total.	☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at		
From on or ab Approximatel Approximatel	York City Medical Examiner's Office out until, y hours per day; for y days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:		
☐ The Fresh		From on or about until;		
Approximatel	out until; y hours per day; for y days total.	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:		
*Continue th		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.		
5.	Injured Plaintiff			
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated		
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all		
	Was exposed to and absorbed of the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at		
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.			
	Please read this document carefully. It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.			

2 of 9

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101 , Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
☐ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	ightharpoons BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, ELC □ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☐ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ EN-TECH CORP □ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EI EN VIRONMENTAL □EVANS EN VIRONMENTAL
□ / WUNLD INADE CUMPANI, L.F.	LIL VAINS LIN VIKUNMEN LAL

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	Business/Service Address:
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
□ Non-WTC Site Lessee	
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:08-cv-04662-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/16/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

✓ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ✓; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):				
removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.				
	III CAUSES OF ACTION			
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:				
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation	
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 	
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ☑ Other(specify): Not yet determined	
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death	
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff	
			Other:	

Case 1:08-cv-04662-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/16/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Cardiovascular Injury: Chest Pain Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
\	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems; Wheezing Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	✓	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 2/15/2008 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: Heartburn/acid reflux/GERD Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	✓	Other Injury: Chronic Headaches; Skin Rash; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

damages:			
===: V	Pain and suffering	✓ Medical monitoring✓ Other: Not yet determined.	
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life	- Other. 1-10t yet determined.	
✓	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity		
V	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits		
✓	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation		
✓	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability		

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York May 15, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Matthew Quigley

Bv:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action. That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
May 15, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK MATTHEW QUIGLEY, Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP *Attorneys for:* Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: **□** NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on 20__ □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at 20 Μ. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP